

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/709,771 05/27/2004		Michael J. Hier	04783 (LC 0156 PUS)	3770	
36014	7590 02/23/2005		EXAMINER		
JOHN A. AI	RTZ	JOYCE, HAROLD			
ARTZ & ART	rz, p.c.				
28333 TELEC	GRAPH ROAD, SUITE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			3749		

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u> </u>			
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	(1)			
Office Action Summary		10/709,77	709,771	HIER ET AL.	<b>V</b> I'			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Harold Joy		3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ever y within the statut will apply and will o, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be time cory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 D	ecember 20	<u>04</u> .					
'	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1,2,4-15 and 17-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 1,2,4-15 and 17-22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have beer ts have beer city docume u (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this Nationa	al Stage			
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		ГО-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/709,771 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Godwin. In Godwin, the claimed air plenum corresponds to housing 26, the upper housing corresponds to receding surface 14, the lower housing corresponds to vertical surface (unlabeled), the coupling joint corresponds to U-shaped member 40, and the air transfer device corresponds to the air conditioning equipment (column 3, line 26). Or, the claimed air plenum corresponds to bridging 28, the coupling joint corresponds to the remainder of the housing 26, and the vent (claims 17 and 21) corresponds to U-shaped member 40.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godwin in view of Roan et al. Godwin discloses the claimed invention except for air plenum. Roan et al. teaches that it is known to provide an air handling sys-

Art Unit: 3749

tem with a floor duct assembly as set forth at column 4, line 66. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the vehicle of Godwin with the air plenum, as taught by Roan in order to supply air to the floor area.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed December 20, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges that the adjustable grill of Godwin is within the line of sight of the occupant and is therefore not hidden. To the contrary, Godwin states at column 4, lines 26-44:

A tab 66 is formed on bottom wall 44 adjacent leading edge 46 to provide a graspable handle for adjusting the position of the grille as will be seen below. ... should it be desired to direct air horizontally or downwardly, the main frame may be positioned as shown in solid lines or as noted by position 68, respectively.

Thus, it can be seem that the grille can be used in adjustable positions.

6. As to applicant argument that it is unclear from Godwin that the main frame 24 is hidden from view, this appears to be dictated by the physical height of the occupant and/or the position of the adjustable seat from the dashboard.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/709,771 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Joyce whose telephone number is (571)272-4876. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (571)272-4877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Haiold Joyce
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3749